

Probing the Nielsen identities

O.M. Del Cima^{*†}

*Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Technische Universität Wien (TU-Wien),
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10 - A-1040 - Vienna - Austria.*
(February 1, 2008)

We present some comments concerning the validity of the Nielsen identities for renormalizable theories quantized in general linear covariant gauges in a context of compact gauge Lie groups.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh 11.15.-q 11.15.Bt 11.15.Ex

TUW-99-04

The gauge dependence of the effective potential is controlled by a set of differential equations called Nielsen identities [1], which are satisfied whether the gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken or not. In the case of spontaneously broken gauge theories, one of the physical appeals of the Nielsen identities is that they guarantee that the spontaneous symmetry breaking is realized by an actual physical minimum, in spite of the effective potential being gauge dependent.

Physical observables gauge independence has been studied in connection with the idea of extended BRS symmetry [2–4], this is achieved by enlarging the BRS symmetry allowing, in such a way, the transformation of the gauge parameters into new (BRS invariant) Grassmann variables.

The main purpose of this letter is to present some comments with respect to the Nielsen identities in a context of renormalizable theories quantized in general linear covariant gauges using the extended BRS technique. All subtleties of the method used here, as well as some controversies presented in the literature related to the validity of the Nielsen identities, are discussed quite detailed in [5].

Let us consider a general compact Lie group, $G = S \oplus A$, with S and A being the semi-simple and the Abelian factors, respectively. The matter content is described by a set of scalar fields, $\varphi_i(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_\varphi$), and spinor fields, $\psi_I(x)$ ($I = 1, \dots, n_\psi$). The matter fields carrying anti-Hermitian irreducible representations of G transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\varphi_i(x) &= \omega^a(x) T_{ai}^{(\varphi)j} (\varphi_j(x) + v_j) , \\ \delta\psi_I(x) &= \omega^a(x) T_{aI}^{(\psi)J} \psi_J(x) ,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

while the transformation of gauge fields $A_\mu^a(x)$ is

$$\delta A_\mu^a(x) = \partial_\mu \omega^a(x) - f_{bc}^a \omega^b(x) A_\mu^c(x) ,\tag{2}$$

where $\omega^a(x)$ are real C^∞ functions of the space-time point x , and f_{bc}^a are the real structure constants of G . The set of indices a, b, c should be understood as a split into a semi-simple subset $a_S, b_S, c_S = 1, \dots, N_S$ and an Abelian subset $a_A, b_A, c_A = 1, \dots, N_A$, corresponding to the semi-simple and the Abelian components of G .

Owing to the local character of the transformations (1), covariant derivatives are required, they read

$$\begin{aligned}D_\mu \varphi_i(x) &= \partial_\mu \varphi_i(x) - A_\mu^a(x) T_{ai}^{(\varphi)j} \varphi_j(x) , \\ \not{D} \psi_I(x) &= \not{\partial} \psi_I(x) - \not{A}^a(x) T_{aI}^{(\psi)J} \psi_J(x) ,\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

with the field-strength given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b A_\nu^c .\tag{4}$$

Let us consider, *a priori*, a renormalizable theory [4] in D space-time dimensions represented by the classical action Σ_{inv} , build up in terms of the matter fields, φ_i and ψ_I , the field-strength, $F_{\mu\nu}^a$, and the covariant derivatives (3), which shall be, moreover, invariant under the following BRS transformations:

^{*}Supported by the *Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF)* under the contract number P11654-PHY.

[†]E-mail: delcima@tph73.tuwien.ac.at .

$$\begin{aligned}
sA_\mu^{aS} &= D_\mu c^{aS} \equiv (\partial_\mu c^{aS} - f_{bc}^{aS} A_\mu^b c^c) , \quad sA_\mu^{aA} = \partial_\mu c^{aA} , \\
sc^{aS} &= \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^{aS} c^b c^c , \quad sc^{aA} = 0 , \\
s\varphi_i &= c^a T_{ai}^{(\varphi)j} (\varphi_j + v_j) , \quad s\bar{c}^a = b^a , \\
s\psi_I &= c^a T_{aI}^{(\psi)J} \psi_J , \quad sb^a = 0 .
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

As we have previously mentioned, we shall restrict our analysis to a general covariant linear gauge, which should be added to the classical action, Σ_{inv} , in order to make the quantization feasible. A general linear BRS invariant gauge-fixing [6,7] (due to the nilpotency of s) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\text{gf}} &= \int d^D x \, \Lambda^{ab} \left\{ b_a \left[g_b + \frac{\xi^0}{2} b_b \right] - \bar{c}_a (\mathcal{M}c)_b \right\} \\
&= s \int d^D x \, \Lambda^{ab} \bar{c}_a \left\{ g_b + \frac{\xi^0}{2} b_b \right\} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where Λ^{ab} is an arbitrary symmetric positive definite matrix, ξ^0 is a gauge parameter and $g_a(x)$ is the gauge function (linear in the quantum fields) depending on the gauge parameters $\xi^{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\tilde{\alpha} = 1, \dots, n_\xi$. The gauge function, $g_a(x)$, is related to the Faddeev-Popov ghost operator, $(\mathcal{M}c)_a(x)$, by a BRS-doublet relation:

$$sg_a = (\mathcal{M}c)_a , \quad s(\mathcal{M}c)_a = 0 . \tag{7}$$

The gauge dependence of the classical theory, given by the action $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\text{inv}} + \Sigma_{\text{gf}}$, reads¹

$$\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial \xi^\alpha} = s \int d^D x \, \Lambda^{ab} \bar{c}_a \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \left\{ g_b + \frac{\xi^0}{2} b_b \right\} , \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n_\xi , \tag{8}$$

where is assumed that no sum² runs on the gauge parameter index α , *i.e.*, eq.(8) is satisfied by each gauge parameter ξ^α separately. Notice that the right-hand side of eq.(8) being a BRS-variation, therefore, expressing the unphysical character of the gauge parameters, means that the physical quantities, functions of gauge invariant operators, are independent of those gauge parameters [3,4].

At this point we shall introduce new Grassmann variables, χ^α , defining them as the BRS transformations of the gauge parameters [2-4], ξ^α , as follows

$$s\xi^\alpha = \chi^\alpha , \quad s\chi^\alpha = 0 . \tag{9}$$

Bearing in mind the extended BRS transformations, namely, the fields BRS transformations (5) together with (9), we require now invariance of the classical action, Σ , under these transformations, which leads to a redefinition of the gauge-fixing term (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\text{gf}} &= s \int d^D x \, \Lambda^{ab} \bar{c}_a \left\{ g_b + \frac{\xi^0}{2} b_b \right\} \\
&= \int d^D x \, \Lambda^{ab} \left\{ b_a \left[g_b + \frac{\xi^0}{2} b_b \right] + \frac{\chi^0}{2} \bar{c}_a b_b - \bar{c}_a (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}c)_b \right\} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

such that

$$sg_a = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}c)_a , \quad s(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}c)_a = 0 . \tag{11}$$

It should be stressed that, in view of the dependence of the gauge function, $g_a(x)$, on the gauge parameters, $\xi^{\tilde{\alpha}}$, the requirement of invariance under the extended BRS transformations demands a further redefinition of the initial Faddeev-Popov ghost operator to the new one, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}c)_a$:

¹It is worthwhile to mention that, as pointed out by the referee, the gauge function has not to be necessarily linear in terms of the gauge parameters.

²When this happens will be indicated by the sum symbol, $\sum$.

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}c})_a(x) = \int d^D y \left\{ \frac{\delta g_a(x)}{\delta \omega^b(y)} c^b(y) \right\} + \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}=1}^{n_\xi} \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}} \frac{\partial g_a(x)}{\partial \xi^{\tilde{\alpha}}} . \quad (12)$$

Since some of the BRS transformations are nonlinear, therefore, subjected to renormalization, an action depending on the antifields (external fields) coupled to those nonlinear BRS transformations should be added to the gauge-fixed action, Σ :

$$\Sigma_{\text{ext}} = \int d^D x \left\{ A_{aS}^{*\mu} s A_\mu^{aS} + c_{aS}^* s c^{aS} + \varphi^{*i} s \varphi_i + \bar{\psi}^{*I} s \psi_I - s \bar{\psi}^I \psi_I^* \right\} , \quad (13)$$

where the antifields, $A_{aS}^{*\mu}$, c_{aS}^* , φ_i^* and ψ_I^* , are BRS invariant.

The total classical action, $\Gamma^{(0)}$, which is the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ of the vertex functional $(\Gamma)^3$

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) , \quad (14)$$

reads

$$\Gamma^{(0)} = \Sigma_{\text{inv}} + \Sigma_{\text{gf}} + \Sigma_{\text{ext}} . \quad (15)$$

Due to the fact that the vertex functional, Γ , respects all symmetries of the classical action, $\Gamma^{(0)}$, it does also with respect to the extended Slavnov-Taylor identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_{aS}^{*\mu}} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_\mu^{aS}} + \partial_\mu c^{aA} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_\mu^{aA}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta c_{aS}^*} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta c^{aS}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi^{*i}} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi_i} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{\psi}^{*I}} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \psi_I} - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \psi_I^*} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{\psi}^I} + b^a \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}^a} \right\} + \\ + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_\xi} \chi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \xi^\alpha} = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which expresses, in a functional way, the invariance of the quantum theory under the extended BRS symmetry. It is suitable to define, for later use, the linearized extended Slavnov-Taylor operator as bellow

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\Gamma = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_{aS}^{*\mu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^{aS}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A_\mu^{aS}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_{aS}^{*\mu}} + \partial_\mu c^{aA} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^{aA}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta c_{aS}^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta c^{aS}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta c^{aS}} \frac{\delta}{\delta c_{aS}^*} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi^{*i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_i} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^{*i}} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{\psi}^{*I}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \psi_I} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \psi_I} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\psi}^{*I}} - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \psi_I^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\psi}^I} - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{\psi}^I} \frac{\delta}{\delta \psi_I^*} + b^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^a} \right\} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_\xi} \chi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The both operators, $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$, satisfy the following identities:

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = 0 , \quad \forall \mathcal{F} , \quad (18)$$

$$(\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{F})^2 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = 0 , \quad (19)$$

in particular, since $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = 0$, then a nilpotency relation holds for the linearized extended Slavnov-Taylor operator:

$$(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma)^2 = 0 . \quad (20)$$

Now, by considering the Slavnov-Taylor identity (16), we get

$$\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = 0 , \quad (21)$$

from which the following identities stem

$$\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \xi^\alpha} + \chi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \chi^\alpha} = \mathcal{S}_\Gamma \left(\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \right) , \quad (22)$$

³As we have previously mentioned, it is supposed *a priori* a renormalizable theory, meaning that the quantum vertex functional, Γ , satisfies all symmetries of its tree-graph approximation, $\Gamma^{(0)}$.

representing, therefore, identities that control the gauge dependence of the vertex functional Γ . By setting now $\chi^\alpha = 0$, eq.(22) reads

$$\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \xi^\alpha} = \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\Delta_{\xi^\alpha} \cdot \Gamma) \quad , \quad (23)$$

where $\Delta_{\xi^\alpha} \cdot \Gamma$ is the quantum operator insertion defined by

$$\Delta_{\xi^\alpha} \cdot \Gamma \equiv \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \Big|_{\chi^\alpha=0} \quad . \quad (24)$$

As we shall see later on, the Nielsen identities [1] are the particular case of eq.(23), moreover, this equation reveals the unphysical character of the gauge parameters. The Nielsen identities, which control the gauge (unphysical) dependence of the effective potential, stems straightforwardly from eq.(23) when we specialize the vertex functional to the effective potential case. In view of the fact that the effective potential is obtained from the Fourier transform of the vertex functional at zero external momenta, $\tilde{\Gamma}(0, \dots, 0)$, and by setting all fields to zero, except for the scalar fields which are taken as constant configurations, so, no IR problem is desired at all. This can be realized by guaranteeing that the gauge-fixing gives mass to all unphysical degrees of freedom, since the physical ones are assumed as massive particles in the broken phase.

From the definition of the effective potential, $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\bar{\varphi})$, which is the zeroth order term in the expansion of the vertex functional, Γ , by setting all fields to zero except for the scalar fields which are assumed as constants, $\varphi_i(x) = \bar{\varphi}_i$,

$$\Gamma(\bar{\varphi}) = -\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\bar{\varphi}) \int d^D x \quad , \quad (25)$$

we get

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\bar{\varphi}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \tilde{\Gamma}^{i_1 \dots i_n}(0, \dots, 0) \bar{\varphi}_{i_1} \dots \bar{\varphi}_{i_n} \quad , \quad (26)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}^{i_1 \dots i_n}(0, \dots, 0)$ are the momentum-space vertex functions taken at zero external momenta.

Now, by taking into account the gauge control identity (23) together with the effective potential definition, eq.(25), the following identity holds

$$\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \xi^\alpha} + C_{\alpha i}(\bar{\varphi}, \xi) \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i} = 0 \quad , \quad (27)$$

where

$$C_{\alpha i}(\bar{\varphi}, \xi) = - \int d^D x \frac{\delta(\Delta_{\xi^\alpha} \cdot \Gamma)_\alpha}{\delta \varphi^{*i}} \Big|_{\varphi_i(x)=\bar{\varphi}_i} \quad . \quad (28)$$

Notice that eq.(27) is valid for each gauge parameter ξ^α ($\alpha = 0, \dots, n_\xi$), it represents the well-known Nielsen identities [1] for the effective potential.

As a final conclusion, this algebraic proof, based on general theorems of renormalization, leads to an unambiguous proof of the validity of the Nielsen identities, to all orders in perturbation theory, for renormalizable theories in any dimensions quantized in the context of general linear covariant gauges, with the gauge group being a general compact Lie group. The controversies presented in the literature concerning the conditional validity of the Nielsen identities to a subspace of the gauge parameters space are discussed quite detailed in ref. [5], in fact, this letter is a natural extension of that work.

Acknowledgements: The author expresses his gratitude to Olivier Piguet and Daniel H.T. Franco for helpful comments and for the critical reading of the manuscript. He also thanks the referee for the very pertinent comments, especially the one related to eq.(8). He dedicates this work to his wife, Zilda Cristina, to his kids, Vittoria and Enzo, and to his mother, Victoria.

- [1] N.K. Nielsen, Nucl.Phys. B101 (1975) 173.
- [2] H. Kluberg-Stern and J.-B. Zuber, Phys.Rev. D12 (1975) 467, 482 and 3159.
- [3] O. Piguet and K. Sibold, Nucl.Phys. B253 (1985) 517.
- [4] O. Piguet and S.P. Sorella, *Algebraic Renormalization*, Lecture Notes in Physics, m28, Springer-Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg), 1995; see also the references therein.
- [5] O.M. Del Cima, D.H.T. Franco and O. Piguet, hep-th/9902084, Nucl.Phys. B551 (1999) 813.
- [6] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, *Gauge field models and Renormalizable models with broken symmetries*, Renormalization Theory, eds. G. Velo and A.S. Wightman, D. Reidel (Dordrecht), 1976.
- [7] G. Bandelloni, C. Becchi, A. Blasi and R. Collina, Ann.Inst.Henri Poincaré 28 (1978) 225 and 255.